

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/088,451	KLEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vinh T Luong	3682	

All Participants:

(1) Vinh T Luong.

(2) Friedrich Kueffner.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 November 2004

Time: about 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b)

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Boom et al. (DE 196 17 038 A1)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Vinh T. Luong

Primary Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to the Examiner's proposed amendment to positively recite "a separate container" and "the container (13, 13', 13'', 13''') is received without play into a receptacle (16) of the base shell (11)" in claim 1. Such amendment would put the case in the condition for allowance. See reasons for allowance attached.